## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of North Carolina

	United States of America		
	v. ) ) Case No. 4:11-CR-71-D-1		
	Case No. 4:11-CR-71-D-1  RODNEY LUCAS		
	Defendant )		
	DETENTION ORDER PENDING TRIAL		
	After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts hat the defendant be detained pending trial.		
	Part I—Findings of Fact		
□ (1) T	The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted		
C	of $\square$ a federal offense $\square$ a state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if federal		
	jurisdiction had existed - that is		
	□ a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4)or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5) for which the prison term is 10 years or more.		
	☐ an offense for which the maximum sentence is death or life imprisonment.		
	☐ an offense for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more is prescribed in		
	·*		
	a felony committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses:		
	☐ any felony that is not a crime of violence but involves:		
	☐ a minor victim		
	☐ the possession or use of a firearm or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon		
	☐ a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250		
□ (2)	(2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state release or local offense.		
□ (3)	A period of less than five years has elapsed since the		
	from prison for the offense described in finding (1).		
□ (4)	Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another person or the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.		
	Alternative Findings (A)		
□ (1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense		
	☐ for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more is prescribed in .		
	□ under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).		

<sup>\*</sup>Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of North Carolina

□ (2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presu the defendant's appearance and the safet	mption established by finding 1 that no condition will reasonably assure y of the community.	
	Alt	ernative Findings (B)	
□ (1)	There is a serious risk that the defendan	t will not appear.	
□ (2)	There is a serious risk that the defendan	t will endanger the safety of another person or the community.	
		ment of the Reasons for Detention  ubmitted at the detention hearing establishes by	
	be imposed which would reasonably assure the de	o a detention hearing, there is no condition, or combination of conditions, that can efendant's appearance and/or the safety of another person or the community.  tion, or combination of conditions, that can be imposed which would reasonably of another person or the community.  The lack of stable employment	
Part III—Directions Regarding Detention			
pendir order o	orrections facility separate, to the extent pracing appeal. The defendant must be afforded a	of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement ticable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On mey for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shal for a court appearance.	
Date:	10/17/2011	Poh t from Judge's Signature	
		ROBERT B. JONES, JR., USMJ	
	_	Name and Title	